Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary			
	10/523,351	TACHIBANA ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALI S. ABYANEH	2137	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>ALI S. ABYANEH</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Vazken Alexanian</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 July 2008	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney was informed about the informalities in the claim 6 (spelling of the word watermark), abstract and title of the application. Applicant's attorney agreed to correct the informalities and transmit a corrected version of title and abstract via an e-mail to the examiner. Applicant's attorney authorized the examiner to correct the informalities of the claim, title and abstract through an examiner's amendment.